IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.224 OF 2007

Milan Agarwal ...Petitioner.

Versus

M/s. Borana Plastics Ltd.
and Anr. ...Respondents.

....

Mr.Ghanshyam Upadhyay, Adv. for Petitioner.
Mr.A.S.Shitole, APP, for State.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATED : 22nd February, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner is seeking quashing of process issued by the learned M.M., 33rd Court at Ballard Pier in C.C.No.740/SS/2006. In the said case process is issued under Section 138 r/w. 141 of N.I.Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in the the case of **V.K.Jain and others Vs. Pratap V. Padode, reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J.778,** I am of the opinion that the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers a

revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer the necessary revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner makes a statement that the necessary revision will be preferred within four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court after hearing necessary parties shall dispose of the matter on merits.

6. Application is disposed of.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)